

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

"Kamat Towers" 7th Floor, Patto Plaza, Panaji, Goa – 403 001

Tel: 0832 2437908, 2437880 E-mail: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in Website: www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Shri. Sanjay N. Dhavalikar, State Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 234/2021/SIC

Remedios Peter D'Souza,
402, Divine Paradise,
Cross Road No. 2. I.C. Colony,
Borivili (West),
Mumbai 400103.

..... Appellant

v/s

1. Ms Meghana. K. Dessai,
Section Officer (Home),
State Public Information Officer,
Department of Home (General),
Secretariat,
Porvorim-Goa 403521.

2. Shri Srinet Kothwale,
Additional Secretary (Home),
First Appellate Authority,
Department of Home (General),
Secretariat,
Porvorim-Goa 403521.

..... Respondents

Filed on : 17/09/2021

Decided on : 07/01/2022

Relevant dates emerging from appeal:

RTI application filed on	: 10/06/2021
PIO replied on	: 28/06/2021
First appeal filed on	: 05/07/2021
FAA order passed on	: 14/07/2021
Second appeal received on	: 17/09/2021

ORDER

1. The brief facts of this appeal are that the appellant vide application dated 10/06/2021 sought under section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (for short, the Act) information on certain issues mentioned in the said application, from Respondent No. 1 Public Information Officer (PIO). The PIO vide reply dated 2/06/2021

furnished part information. The Appellant filed appeal dated 05/07/2021 before the first appellate authority, who is Respondent No. 2 in the present matter. The FAA vide order dated 14/07/2021 directed PIO to furnish the remaining information within 7 days. However, PIO did not furnish the complete information and being aggrieved the appellant preferred second appeal before the Commission.

2. The appeal was registered and the concerned parties were notified. Smt. Meghana Dessai, PIO and Shri. Srinet Kothwale, FAA appeared in person and filed reply. The matter was kept for appellant's arguments. Later the appellant appeared and argued.
3. During the hearing on 05/01/2020, Shri. Kothwale, FAA appeared in person and stated that except for the action taken report, all other information has been furnished to the appellant. Regarding the action taken report, the matter is with the office of the Director General of Police, therefore the PIO is unable to furnish the said information. By stating this, the FAA prayed for the disposal of the appeal.
4. The appellant too endorsed the view of respondent that the said information is not available with the authority. Further, the appellant stated that he has filed another application for action taken report before the PIO of the Office of the Director General of Police and the remaining information has been furnished by the present PIO and hence he does not oppose the respondent's prayer for disposal of the appeal.
5. It is seen from the records that the PIO has furnished the available information to the satisfaction of the appellant and the appellant has no any grievance against the PIO and the FAA. Thus the appeal needs to be disposed accordingly.

6. Hence, the appeal is dismissed and the proceeding stands closed.

Pronounced in the open court.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any, may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition, as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/-

(Sanjay N. Dhavalikar)

State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa